

Moanalua Blue

Pearl City Purple

Prosecution		Defense
P	TEAM SCORES	D
8	Opening Statements	6
	<b>Prosecution Case in Chief</b>	
	<b>Witness #1</b>	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
7	Witness Presentation	
	<b>Witness #2</b>	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	7
7	Witness Presentation	
	<b>Witness #3</b>	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	6
8	Witness Presentation	
	<b>Defendant Case in Chief</b>	
	<b>Witness #1</b>	
	Direct Examination	6
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	7
	<b>Witness #2</b>	
	Direct Examination	6
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
	<b>Witness #3</b>	
	Direct Examination	9
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
8	<b>Closing Arguments</b>	8
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
84	<b>TOTAL SCORES</b> (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	80

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.  
Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross with confidence and poise.

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

Moanawa Silver

McKinley

Prosecution		Defense
P	TEAM SCORES	D
9	Opening Statements	8
	<b>Prosecution Case in Chief</b>	
	<b>Witness #1</b>	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	7
9	Witness Presentation	
	<b>Witness #2</b>	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	6
8	Witness Presentation	
	<b>Witness #3</b>	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	9
8	Witness Presentation	
	<b>Defendant Case in Chief</b>	
	<b>Witness #1</b>	
	Direct Examination	8
9	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
	<b>Witness #2</b>	
	Direct Examination	9
9	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	7
	<b>Witness #3</b>	
	Direct Examination	8
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
9	<b>Closing Arguments</b>	8
0	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailliff (2 points max)	2
93	<b>TOTAL SCORES</b> (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	88

**Scoring Guide**  
 Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.  
 Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

**1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)**

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

**3-4 (Below Average)**

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

**5-6 (Average)**

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

**7-8 (Above Average)**

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

**9-10 (Excellent)**

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

**Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross with confidence and poise.**

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

*Nanakuli*

*Miilani*

Plaintiff

Defendant

P	TEAM SCORES	D
8	<b>Opening Statements</b>	9
	Plaintiff's Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	9
8	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #2	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	9
8	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
9	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	9
8	Witness Presentation	
	Defendant Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	9
9	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	9
	Witness #2	
	Direct Examination	8
9	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
	Witness #3	
	Direct Examination	8
0	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
8	<b>Closing Arguments</b>	8
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
85	<b>TOTAL SCORES</b> (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	96

*1 min out of time*

**Scoring Guide**  
Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.  
Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

**1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)**

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

**3-4 (Below Average)**

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

**5-6 (Average)**

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

**7-8 (Above Average)**

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

**9-10 (Excellent)**

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

PEARL CITY WHITE

ST. LOUIS

Prosecution

Defense

P	TEAM SCORES	D
*	Opening Statements	*
	Prosecution Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
✓✓	7 Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	✓ 7
✓✓	8 Witness Presentation	
	Witness #2	
✓✓	7 Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	✓✓ 8
✓✓	8 Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
✓✓	7 Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	✓✓ 7
✓✓	9 Witness Presentation	
	Defendant Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	✓✓ 7
✓✓	9 Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	✓✓ 8
	Witness #2	
	Direct Examination	✓✓ 7
✓✓	7 Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	✓✓ 8
	Witness #3	
	Direct Examination	✓✓ 7
✓✓	7 Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	✓✓ 7
✓✓	7 Closing Arguments	✓✓ 8
✓✓	1 Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	✓✓ 1
77	<b>TOTAL SCORES</b> (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	<del>77</del> 75

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.

Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross with confidence and poise.

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

Opening Statements

Punahou

Waipahu

Plaintiff		Defendant
<b>P</b>	<b>TEAM SCORES</b>	<b>D</b>
8	Opening Statements	7
	Plaintiff's Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	7
8	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #2	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	6
7	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	6
7	Witness Presentation	
	Defendant Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	7
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	7
	Witness #2	
	Direct Examination	7
9	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	7
	Witness #3	
	Direct Examination	7
9	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	7
8	Closing Arguments	7
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
89	<b>TOTAL SCORES</b> (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	77

**Scoring Guide**

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.  
Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

**1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)**

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

**3-4 (Below Average)**

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

**5-6 (Average)**

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

**7-8 (Above Average)**

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

**9-10 (Excellent)**

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross with confidence and poise.

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.