

McKinley

Prosecution

Defense

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.

Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

P	TEAM SCORES	D
✓✓ 7	Opening Statements	✓ 8
	Prosecution Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
✓✓ 7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	✓ 8
✓✓ 8	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #2	
✓✓ 7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	✓ 8
✓✓ 7	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
✓✓ 7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	✓ 8
✓✓ 9	Witness Presentation	
	Defendant Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	✓ 8
✓✓ 8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	✓ 7
	Witness #2	
	Direct Examination	✓ 8
✓✓ 8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	✓ 7
	Witness #3	
	Direct Examination	✓ 7
✓✓ 7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	✓ 7
✓✓ 8	Closing Arguments	8
✓✓ 1	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	1
✓✓ 84	TOTAL SCORES (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	✓✓ 85✓

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross with confidence and poise.

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

Saint Louis

Moana Silver

Prosecution

Defense

P	TEAM SCORES	D
7	Opening Statements	9
	Prosecution Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	10
7	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #2	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	9
7	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	9
8	Witness Presentation	
	Defendant Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	8
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
	Witness #2	
	Direct Examination	9
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	9
	Witness #3	
	Direct Examination	9
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
8	Closing Arguments	9
1	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
80	TOTAL SCORES (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	99

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.
Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross with confidence and poise.

Nanabuli
Plaintiff

HBA

Prosecution

Defense

P	TEAM SCORES	D
6	Opening Statements	8
	Prosecution Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
6	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
7	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #2	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
7	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
9	Witness Presentation	
	Defendant Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	6
5	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
	Witness #2	
	Direct Examination	8
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	9
	Witness #3	
	Direct Examination	7
6	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	10
8	Closing Arguments	8
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
78	TOTAL SCORES (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	90

Please check your calculations before
finalizing the results.

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.

Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross with confidence and poise.

Mililani
Prosecution

Punahoa
Defense

P	TEAM SCORES	D
9	Opening Statements	7
	Prosecution Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
10	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #2	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
9	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
8	Witness Presentation	
	Defendant Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	7
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	9
	Witness #2	
	Direct Examination	8
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	9
	Witness #3	
	Direct Examination	7
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
8	Closing Arguments	8
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
93	TOTAL SCORES (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	89

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.

Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross with confidence and poise.