Waipahu

Pearl City Purple

Prosecut	tion	Defense
Р	TEAM SCORES	T
	Opening Statements	D
8	Prosecution Case in Chief	5
	Witness #1	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	
G	Witness Presentation	
8	Witness #2	
C		
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	
9	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
9	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
of the second second	Witness Presentation	
	Defendant Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	δ
10	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	5
	Witness #2	
	Direct Examination	
ريم 1900 (1900)	Cross Examination	Ċ
	Witness Presentation	9
	Witness #3	
	Direct Examination	J
	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
7	Clork (2 points may)	10
<u> </u>	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max) TOTAL SCORES	2
88	(112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	90
	Please check your calculations before	·.

finalizing the results.

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits. Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Mililani

Pearl City White

Prosecution		Defense
Р	TEAM SCORES	D
Q	Opening Statements	a
	Prosecution Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
10	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	10
9	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #2	
9	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	10
of	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
9	Direct Examination	and all services and appropri
	Cross Examination	OP
9	Witness Presentation	
	Defendant Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	10
9	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #2	
	Direct Examination	10
10	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	10
	Witness #3	
1	Direct Examination	10
10	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	10
10	Closing Arguments	10
V	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	6
	TOTAL SCORES	
105	(112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	110
	Please check your calculations before	

finalizing the results.

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits. Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

McKinley

Prosecution

Punahou

Defense

TEAM SCORES D **Opening Statements** 9 8 Prosecution Case in Chief Witness #1 8 Direct Examination Cross Examination 10 Witness Presentation \$ Witness #2 8 Direct Examination 9 Cross Examination 9 Witness Presentation Witness #3 9 Direct Examination Cross Examination 9 Witness Presentation **Defendant Case in Chief** Witness #1 Direct Examination Cross Examination Witness Presentation 9 Witness #2 Direct Examination 9 Cross Examination Witness Presentation Witness #3 Direct Examination Cross Examination Witness Presentation **Closing Arguments** Clerk (2 points max) 2 2 Bailiff (2 points max) **TOTAL SCORES** (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) 96 98 Points cannot be a tie

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits. Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Moanalua	Siver	akuli	and the second s
Prosecut		Defense	Scoring Guide Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.
Р	TEAM SCORES	7	Do NOT use fractional points or award zero
/		D	1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)
V V 9	Opening Statements	7	 Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
	Prosecution Case in Chief	_	Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
a constant	Witness #1		 Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes
	Direct Examination		3-4 (Below Average)
-	Cross Examination	8	 Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
V V 8	Witness Presentation	_	Communication minimally clear and organized, but leaking in fluorous and passures is process.
	Witness #2		lacking in fluency and persuasivenessMinimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under
V V 7	Direct Examination		pressure 5-6 (Average)
	Cross Examination J./	8	 Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case
V V 8	Witness Presentation	trainer en de 12 a 12 anie 12 anie 13	materials, but does not convey mastery of case Communication is clear and organized, but could be
	Witness #3		stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
VV 8	Direct Examination	the blanch of a	 Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script
	Cross Examination	7	7-8 (Above Average)
117	Witness Presentation		 Exhibits mastery of the case materials Communication is clear, organized, fluent and
	Defendant Case in Chief		persuasiveThinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes
	Wiłness #1		sparingly
	Direct Examination J_V	7	9-10 (Excellent) • Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
VV 7	Cross Examination		 Can sort essential from non-essential to use time
	Witness Presentation √√	7	effectivelyDoes not use notes
	Witness #2		Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under
	Direct Examination / /	8	direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross
118	Cross Examination		with confidence and poise.
	Witness Presentation	9	
	Witness #3		
11	Direct Examination JV	8	
VV 8	Cross Examination		
	Witness Presentation 🗸 🗸	6	
	Closing Arguments		
VVII	Clerk (2 points max)		
	Bailiff (2 points max)	1	
	TOTAL SCORES		
11 78	(112 points Max.) $\sqrt{\nu}$	1 76	
	(add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie		
S	Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.		
The state of the s			

Moanalua Blue

HBA

Prosecution		Defense
Р	TEAM SCORES	D
8	Opening Statements	7
	Prosecution Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	1
6	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
8	Witness Presentation	Was de la
	Witness #2	
6	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	6
7	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	7
7	Witness Presentation	
	Defendant Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	6
9	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
	Witness #2	
	Direct Examination	6
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	7
	Witness #3	
	Direct Examination	8
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	6
8	Closing Arguments	7
2	Clerk (2 points max)	71/1904
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
	TOTAL SCORES	
83	(112 points Max.) (add scores from each column)	78
_	Points cannot be a tie	10
	Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.	

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits. Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes