

Punahou

HBA 2

Prosecution		Defense
P	TEAM SCORES	D
8	Opening Statements	8
	Prosecution Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	10
9	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #2	
9	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
9	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
9	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
9	Witness Presentation	
	Defense Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	9
9	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	9
	Witness #2	
	Direct Examination	9
10	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	9
	Witness #3	
	Direct Examination	8
10	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	10
9	Closing Arguments	10
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
101	TOTAL SCORES (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	100

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.
Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

Pearl City White

Mounlun Silver

Prosecution		Defense	
P	TEAM SCORES	Anchor	D
✓✓ 8	Opening Statements	✓ 9	
	Prosecution Case in Chief		
	Witness #1		
✓✓ 8	Direct Examination <i>Rabanal</i>		
	Cross Examination <i>Huo</i>	✓ 8	
✓✓ 7	Witness Presentation <i>Inafuku</i>		
	Witness #2		
✓✓ 7	Direct Examination <i>Rodgers</i>		
	Cross Examination <i>Anchor</i>	✓ 8	
✓✓ 9	Witness Presentation <i>Hashimoto</i>		
	Witness #3		
✓✓ 8	Direct Examination <i>Kaetsu</i>		
	Cross Examination <i>Brown</i>	✓ 8	
✓✓ 8	Witness Presentation <i>Hashimoto</i>		
	Defense Case in Chief		
	Witness #1		
	Direct Examination <i>Anchor</i>	✓ 8	
✓✓ 8	Cross Examination <i>Yoda</i>		
	Witness Presentation <i>Quintana</i>	✓ 7	
	Witness #2		
	Direct Examination <i>Brown</i>	✓ 8	
✓✓ 8	Cross Examination <i>Garbo</i>		
	Witness Presentation <i>Sequerra</i>	8	
	Witness #3		
	Direct Examination <i>Brown</i>	8	
✓✓ 9	Cross Examination <i>Flynt</i>		
	Witness Presentation <i>Kauhini</i>	8	
✓✓ 8	Closing Arguments <i>Sequerra</i>	10	
	Clerk (2 points max)		
	Bailiff (2 points max)		1
89	TOTAL SCORES (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	91	

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.
Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross examination with confidence and poise.

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

Waipahu

Saint Louis

Prosecution		Defense
P	TEAM SCORES	D
3	Opening Statements	3
	Prosecution Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
8	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #2	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
7	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	9
7	Witness Presentation	
	Defense Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	7
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	7
	Witness #2	
	Direct Examination	8
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
	Witness #3	
	Direct Examination	8
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
9	Closing Arguments	10
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
84	TOTAL SCORES (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	91

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.
Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

McKinley

Maunaloa Blue

Prosecution		Defense
P	TEAM SCORES	D
5	Opening Statements	8
	Prosecution Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
4	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	7
7	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #2	
5	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	7
6	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
6	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	7
6	Witness Presentation	
	Defense Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	9
6	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	9
	Witness #2	
	Direct Examination	7
6	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	9
	Witness #3	
	Direct Examination	8
6	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	9
6	Closing Arguments	8
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
65	TOTAL SCORES (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	90

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.
Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.