

Pearl City Purple

Waipahu

**Prosecution** **Defense**

Hawkins

P	TEAM SCORES	D
7	Opening Statements <i>Almagela</i>	7
	<b>Prosecution Case in Chief</b>	
	<i>(Walker)</i> Witness #1 <del>Almagela</del>	
8	Direct Examination <i>Agena</i>	
	Cross Examination <i>Pegram</i>	8
8	Witness Presentation <i>Pham</i>	
	<i>(Haiden)</i> Witness #2	
7	Direct Examination <i>Escalera</i>	
	Cross Examination <i>Pegram</i>	7
8	Witness Presentation <i>Fullerbone</i>	
	<i>(Gomes)</i> Witness #3	
8	Direct Examination <del><i>S. Adams</i></del> <i>S. Adams</i>	
	Cross Examination <i>Tumanery</i>	7
7	Witness Presentation <i>S. Adams</i> <i>Barnaby</i>	
	<b>Defense Case in Chief</b>	
	Witness #1 <i>(Young)</i>	
	Direct Examination <i>Viernes</i>	8
9	Cross Examination <i>Hawkins</i>	
	Witness Presentation <i>Lopez-Castillo</i>	7
	Witness #2 <i>(Morgan)</i>	
	Direct Examination <i>Fian</i>	8
8	Cross Examination <i>Kanno</i>	
	Witness Presentation <i>Almagela</i>	8
	Witness #3 <i>(Def)</i>	
	Direct Examination <del><i>Pegram</i></del> <i>Pegram</i>	8
8	Cross Examination <i>Agena</i>	
	Witness Presentation <i>Viernes</i>	8
7	<b>Closing Arguments</b>	7
1	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	1
	<b>TOTAL SCORES</b> (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	
86 ✓✓		84 ✓✓

**Scoring Guide**

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.  
Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

2

**1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)**

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

**3-4 (Below Average)**

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

**5-6 (Average)**

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

**7-8 (Above Average)**

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

**9-10 (Excellent)**

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

**Witnesses** should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

Campbell

Ponahau

Prosecution		Defense
P	TEAM SCORES	D
7	Opening Statements	8
	Prosecution Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
9	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	10
9	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #2	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	9
8	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	10
9	Witness Presentation	
	Defense Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	9
9	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	9
	Witness #2	
	Direct Examination	9
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	9
	Witness #3	
	Direct Examination	9
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	10
9	Closing Arguments	10
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
94	<b>TOTAL SCORES</b> <b>(112 points Max.)</b> (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	104

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.  
Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross

*Mouana Silver*

*Wiliiani*

Prosecution		Defense
P	TEAM SCORES	D
7	<b>Opening Statements</b>	7
	<b>Prosecution Case in Chief</b>	
	<b>Witness #1</b>	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	9
7	Witness Presentation	
	<b>Witness #2</b>	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
7	Witness Presentation	
	<b>Witness #3</b>	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	7
8	Witness Presentation	
	<b>Defense Case in Chief</b>	
	<b>Witness #1</b>	
	Direct Examination	8
10	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	9
	<b>Witness #2</b>	
	Direct Examination	7
9	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	10
	<b>Witness #3</b>	
	Direct Examination	7
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	7
8	<b>Closing Arguments</b>	7
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	1
86	<b>TOTAL SCORES</b> <b>(112 points Max.)</b> (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	87

**Scoring Guide**

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.

Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

**1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)**

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

**3-4 (Below Average)**

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

**5-6 (Average)**

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

**7-8 (Above Average)**

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

**9-10 (Excellent)**

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

**Witnesses** should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

Nanakuli

HBA 1

Prosecution		Defense
P	TEAM SCORES	D
8	Opening Statements	9
	<b>Prosecution Case in Chief</b>	
	Witness #1	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
9	Witness Presentation	
	<b>Witness #2</b>	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	9
8	Witness Presentation	
	<b>Witness #3</b>	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
8	Witness Presentation	
	<b>Defense Case in Chief</b>	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	9
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
	<b>Witness #2</b>	
	Direct Examination	8
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
	<b>Witness #3</b>	
	Direct Examination	8
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
8	<b>Closing Arguments</b>	8
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
91	<b>TOTAL SCORES</b> <b>(112 points Max.)</b> (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	93

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.  
Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

Mountain Blue

HBA 2

Prosecution		Defense
P	TEAM SCORES	D
9	Opening Statements	8
	Prosecution Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	7
9	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #2	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	7
9	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	9
7	Witness Presentation	
	Defense Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	7
9	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	7
	Witness #2	
	Direct Examination	8
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
	Witness #3	
	Direct Examination	7
9	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	7
7	Closing Arguments	9
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
91	<b>TOTAL SCORES</b> <b>(112 points Max.)</b> (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	86

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.

Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.