

Prosecution		Defense
P	TEAM SCORES	D
2	Opening Statements	9
	Prosecution Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	7
7	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #2	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
7	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
8	Witness Presentation	
	Defense Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	8
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	7
	Witness #2	
	Direct Examination	7
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
	Witness #3	
	Direct Examination	8
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
	Closing Arguments	7
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
83	<b>TOTAL SCORES</b> (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	87

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

### Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.  
Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

#### 1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

#### 3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

#### 5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

#### 7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

#### 9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross with confidence and poise.

Aubree Davis

P-Outstanding Attorney

Mekana Victoria

P-Outstanding Witness

Arlis Ancheta

D-Outstanding Attorney

Kolby Yamamoto

D-Outstanding Witness

Kandal F. Shintani

Judge Name

[Signature]

Judge Signature

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Prosecution		Defense
P	<b>TEAM SCORES</b> <i>Viray</i>	D
8	<b>Opening Statements</b>	7
	<b>Prosecution Case in Chief</b>	
	<i>(Huff)</i> <b>Witness #1</b> <i>(Haiden)</i>	
7	Direct Examination <i>(Huff)</i> <del><i>(Haiden)</i></del>	
	Cross Examination <i>Navimasy</i>	7
7	Witness Presentation <i>Huff</i>	
	<i>(Paquel)</i> <b>Witness #2</b> <i>(Gomes)</i>	
8	Direct Examination <del><i>(Paquel)</i></del> <i>Barry</i>	
	Cross Examination <i>(Ibanez)</i>	8
8	Witness Presentation <del><i>(Paquel)</i></del> <i>Paquel</i>	
	<i>(Malalay)</i> <b>Witness #3</b> <i>(Walker)</i>	
8	Direct Examination <i>Miyamoto</i>	
	Cross Examination <i>Quon</i>	8
9	Witness Presentation <i>Malalay</i>	
	<b>Defense Case in Chief</b>	
	<b>Witness #1</b> <i>(Young)</i>	
	Direct Examination <i>Kameda</i>	7
7	Cross Examination <i>Murasaki</i>	
	Witness Presentation <i>Takenaka</i>	9
	<b>Witness #2</b> <i>(Morgan)</i>	
	Direct Examination <i>Viray</i>	7
7	Cross Examination <i>Stonerook</i>	
	Witness Presentation <i>Hui</i>	7
	<b>Witness #3</b> <i>(Lee)</i>	
	Direct Examination <i>Quon</i>	9
7	Cross Examination <i>Prescott</i>	
	Witness Presentation <i>Emerson</i>	7
9	<b>Closing Arguments</b>	8
1	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	1
86	<b>TOTAL SCORES</b> (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	85

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

**Scoring Guide**  
Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.  
Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

- 1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)**
- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
  - Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
  - Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes
- 3-4 (Below Average)**
- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
  - Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
  - Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure
- 5-6 (Average)**
- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
  - Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
  - Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script
- 7-8 (Above Average)**
- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
  - Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
  - Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly
- 9-10 (Excellent)**
- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
  - Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
  - Does not use notes
- Witnesses** should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross with confidence and poise.


Fernandez  
P-Outstanding Attorney

Malalay  
P-Outstanding Witness

Quon  
D-Outstanding Attorney

Takenaka  
D-Outstanding Witness

J. Crabtree  
Judge Name

  
Judge Signature

11/28/20  
Date

Prosecution		Defense
P	TEAM SCORES	D
8	Opening Statements	6
	Prosecution Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
8	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #2	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
8	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	7
7	Witness Presentation	
	Defense Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	7
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	7
	Witness #2	
	Direct Examination	7
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	7
	Witness #3	
	Direct Examination	8
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
8	Closing Arguments	7
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
87	<b>TOTAL SCORES</b> (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	82

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

### Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.  
Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

#### 1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

#### 3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

#### 5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

#### 7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

#### 9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross with confidence and poise.

Jordyn Kobayashi

P-Outstanding Attorney

Jaelyn Mina

P-Outstanding Witness

Kawika Pegram


D-Outstanding Attorney

Denaline Viernes

D-Outstanding Witness

Kevin Morikone

Judge Name



Judge Signature

1/28/20  
Date

Prosecution		Defense
P	TEAM SCORES	D
8	Opening Statements	8
	<b>Prosecution Case in Chief</b>	
	Witness #1	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
8	Witness Presentation	
	<b>Witness #2</b>	
9	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
8	Witness Presentation	
	<b>Witness #3</b>	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
8	Witness Presentation	
	<b>Defense Case in Chief</b>	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	8
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
	<b>Witness #2</b>	
	Direct Examination	8
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	9
	<b>Witness #3</b>	
	Direct Examination	8
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
9	<b>Closing Arguments</b>	8
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
92	<b>TOTAL SCORES</b> (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	91

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

**Scoring Guide**  
Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.  
Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

**1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)**

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

**3-4 (Below Average)**

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

**5-6 (Average)**

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

**7-8 (Above Average)**

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

**9-10 (Excellent)**

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

**Witnesses** should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross with confidence and poise.

Damien Chang  
P-Outstanding Attorney

Angelique Williams  
P-Outstanding Witness

Kiley Rodgers  
D-Outstanding Attorney

Allyson Inafuku  
D-Outstanding Witness

William M. Domingo  
Judge Name

William M. Q  
Judge Signature

6/28/20  
Date

Prosecution		Defense
P	TEAM SCORES	D
7	<b>Opening Statements</b>	7
	<b>Prosecution Case in Chief</b>	
	<b>Witness #1</b>	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	7
6	Witness Presentation	
	<b>Witness #2</b>	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
7	Witness Presentation	
	<b>Witness #3</b>	
6	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	7
8	Witness Presentation	
	<b>Defense Case in Chief</b>	
	<b>Witness #1</b>	
	Direct Examination	9
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
	<b>Witness #2</b>	
	Direct Examination	7
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	7
	<b>Witness #3</b>	
	Direct Examination	7
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	7
8	<b>Closing Arguments</b>	7
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
82	<b>TOTAL SCORES</b> <b>(112 points Max.)</b> (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	83

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

#### Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.  
Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

#### 1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

#### 3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

#### 5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

#### 7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

#### 9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

**Witnesses** should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross with confidence and poise.

Amy Terashima

P-Outstanding Attorney

Brandy Pantil

P-Outstanding Witness

Cyane Bolosan-Yee

D-Outstanding Attorney

Alena Bolosan

D-Outstanding Witness

Paul B.K. Wong

Judge Name

Judge Signature

Jan. 28, 2020

Date

Prosecution		Defense
P	TEAM SCORES	D
7	Opening Statements	8
	<b>Prosecution Case in Chief</b>	
	Witness #1	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	9
8	Witness Presentation	
	<b>Witness #2</b>	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
7	Witness Presentation	
	<b>Witness #3</b>	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
7	Witness Presentation	
	<b>Defense Case in Chief</b>	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	8
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	9
	<b>Witness #2</b>	
	Direct Examination	8
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	9
	<b>Witness #3</b>	
	Direct Examination	9
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	9
7	<b>Closing Arguments</b>	
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
80	<b>TOTAL SCORES</b> (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	96

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

### Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.  
Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

#### 1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

#### 3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

#### 5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

#### 7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

#### 9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

**Witnesses** should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross with confidence and poise.

\_\_\_\_\_  
P-Outstanding Attorney

\_\_\_\_\_  
P-Outstanding Witness

\_\_\_\_\_  
D-Outstanding Attorney

\_\_\_\_\_  
D-Outstanding Witness

Judge Todd Eddins ✓  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Signature

1/28/20  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date