

St. Louis

Moanalua-Silver

Prosecution		Defense
P	TEAM SCORES	D
2	Opening Statements	9
	Prosecution Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	7
7	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #2	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
7	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
8	Witness Presentation	
	Defense Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	8
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	7
	Witness #2	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
	Witness Presentation	8
	Witness #3	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
8	Closing Arguments	7
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
83	TOTAL SCORES (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	87

Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.

Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross

Mililani

Moanalua-Blue

Prosecution

Defense

P	TEAM SCORES	Viray	D
8	Opening Statements		7
	Prosecution Case in Chief		
	(Huff) Witness #1 (Haiden)		
7	Direct Examination (Huff)		
	Cross Examination Navimashu	7	
7	Witness Presentation Huff		
	(Paquet) Witness #2 (Gomes)		
8	Direct Examination (Paquet)		
	Cross Examination (Ibanez)	8	
8	Witness Presentation (Paquet)		
	(Malalay) Witness #3 (Walker)		
8	Direct Examination Miyamoto		
	Cross Examination Quon	8	
9	Witness Presentation Malalay		
	Defense Case in Chief		
	Witness #1 (Young)		
	Direct Examination Kameda	7	
7	Cross Examination Murasaki		
	Witness Presentation Takenaka	9	
	Witness #2 (Morgan)		
	Direct Examination Viray	7	
7	Cross Examination Stonerook		
	Witness Presentation Hui	7	
	Witness #3 (Lee)		
	Direct Examination Quon	9	
7	Cross Examination Prescott		
	Witness Presentation Emerson	7	
9	Closing Arguments	8	
1	Clerk (2 points max)		
	Bailiff (2 points max)	1	
86	TOTAL SCORES (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	85	

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.
Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross

Please check your calculations before
finalizing the results.

HBA-2

Waipahu

Prosecution**Defense**

P	TEAM SCORES	D
8	Opening Statements	6
	Prosecution Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
8	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #2	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
8	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	7
7	Witness Presentation	
	Defense Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	7
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	7
	Witness #2	
	Direct Examination	7
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	7
	Witness #3	
	Direct Examination	8
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
8	Closing Arguments	7
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
87	TOTAL SCORES (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	82

Please check your calculations before
finalizing the results.**Scoring Guide**Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.
Do NOT use fractional points or award zero**1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)**

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross

McKinley

Pearl City-White

Prosecution		Defense
P	TEAM SCORES	D
8	Opening Statements	8
	Prosecution Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
8	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #2	
9	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
8	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
8	Witness Presentation	
	Defense Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	8
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
	Witness #2	
	Direct Examination	8
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	9
	Witness #3	
	Direct Examination	8
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
9	Closing Arguments	8
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
92	TOTAL SCORES (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	91
Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.		

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.
Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross

HBA-1

Campbell

Prosecution		Defense
P	TEAM SCORES	D
7	Opening Statements	7
	Prosecution Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	7
6	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #2	
8	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
7	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
6	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	7
8	Witness Presentation	
	Defense Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	9
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	8
	Witness #2	
	Direct Examination	7
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	7
	Witness #3	
	Direct Examination	7
8	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	7
8	Closing Arguments	7
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
82	TOTAL SCORES (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	83
Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.		

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.

Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross

Nanakuli

Pearl City-Purple

Prosecution		Defense
P	TEAM SCORES	D
7	Opening Statements	8
	Prosecution Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	9
8	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #2	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
7	Witness Presentation	
	Witness #3	
7	Direct Examination	
	Cross Examination	8
7	Witness Presentation	
	Defense Case in Chief	
	Witness #1	
	Direct Examination	8
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	9
	Witness #2	
	Direct Examination	8
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	9
	Witness #3	
	Direct Examination	9
7	Cross Examination	
	Witness Presentation	9
7	Closing Arguments	
2	Clerk (2 points max)	
	Bailiff (2 points max)	2
80	TOTAL SCORES (112 points Max.) (add scores from each column) Points cannot be a tie	96
Please check your calculations before finalizing the results.		

Scoring Guide

Do NOT score based on the case's legal merits.

Do NOT use fractional points or award zero

1-2 (Poor/Not Effective)

- Exhibits lack of preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication unclear, disorganized and ineffective
- Unsure of self, does not think well on feet, depends heavily upon notes

3-4 (Below Average)

- Exhibits minimal preparation/understanding of case materials
- Communication minimally clear and organized, but lacking in fluency and persuasiveness
- Minimally self-assured, but lacks confidence under pressure

5-6 (Average)

- Exhibits adequate preparation/understanding of case materials, but does not convey mastery of case
- Communication is clear and organized, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
- Can perform "outside the script" but exhibits less confidence than with the script

7-8 (Above Average)

- Exhibits mastery of the case materials
- Communication is clear, organized, fluent and persuasive
- Thinks well on feet, poised under pressure, uses notes sparingly

9-10 (Excellent)

- Superior qualities listed for 7-8 points' presentation
- Can sort essential from non-essential to use time effectively
- Does not use notes

Witnesses should present believable characteristics and convincing testimony. They should also show preparation under direct examination and the ability to field questions under cross